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Screen-printed curvature sensors for soft robots
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Abstract—Castable elastomers have been used to fabricate soft robotic devices and it has been shown that the technique scales well from prototyping to mass manufacturing. However, similarly scalable techniques for integrating strain or curvature sensors into such devices are still lacking. In this paper, we show that screen-printed silver conductors serve well as curvature sensors for soft robotic devices. The sensors are produced onto elastomer substrates in a single printing step and integrated into soft pneumatic actuators. We characterized the resistance-curvature relationship of the sensors, which allows the curvature of the actuators to be estimated from the sensor measurements. Hysteresis was observed, which does limit the absolute accuracy of the sensors. However, temperature characterizations showed that the sensor measurements are not significantly affected by temperature fluctuations during normal operation. Dynamic experiments showed that the bandwidth of the sensors is larger than the bandwidth of the actuators. We experimentally validated that these sensors can be used to detect whether the motion of an actuator has been blocked, clearing the way towards simple-to-fabricate soft robots that react to their surroundings. Finally, we demonstrate a three-fingered soft robotic gripper with integrated sensors. We conclude that screen-printing is a promising way to integrate curvature sensors into soft robots.

Index Terms—Mechanical Sensors, Flexible printed circuit, Soft robotics, Strain measurement

I. INTRODUCTION

Soft robots [1]–[4] made of easily deformable materials are a recent innovation with promising applications in manipulators/grippers [2], [5]–[7], mobile robots [8]–[10], exoskeletons/rehabilitation [11] and monitoring human motion [12]. Soft actuators have been made by embedding small fluidic channels in an elastomer, and then inflating them by gas [2], [13], [14] or liquid [15]. The quick, monolithic fabrication technique of casting elastomers into 3D printed molds has enabled the rapid prototyping of different soft robot designs [2], [10], [16], and this technique scales well for mass manufacturing. However, in their simplest form, the fluidic actuators do not include any strain or curvature sensors. Such sensors would enable closed-loop position control or the ability to detect whether an obstacle is blocking the motions of the robot. The first approach to integrating sensors into soft robots was to embed commercially available bending sensors [17], [18] or custom-designed sensor modules [19] into the casting/assembly. However, this approach is not ideal as the sensor design cannot be adapted quickly to new robot designs. The second approach was to fabricate flexible/stretchable sensors [20]–[23] as needed. Flexible or stretchable strain sensors based on carbon nanotubes [24], carbon black particles [25], liquid metals [12], [26], and silver nanowires [27], [28] have all been proposed. In particular, carbon nanotubes [29], hydrogels [30], liquid metals [31] and optical sensing [32], [33] have been utilised for curvature sensing in soft fluidic actuators. However, many of these examples involve multistep fabrication or materials which are difficult to handle, so they are not suitable for mass manufacturing. There is still a clear need for a simple, low-cost and scalable method for manufacturing sensors for soft robots.

Printed electronics as an additive manufacturing method is a good candidate to fulfill these needs. Functional materials have been printed to fabricate flexible circuits [34], temperature sensors [35] and electrodes [36]. Screen-printing, in particular, is the most common printing method used in electronics. Screen-printing is one of the oldest printing technologies in existence, originating in China over a thousand years ago. Today, screen-printing is applied not only in electronics, but also in textiles, signs, product labels and many other products. Consequently, screens, inks and automated printing machines are widely available.

Recently, our coauthors have shown that screen-printing with conductive inks can be used to produce stretchable conductors directly onto elastomer substrates [37]. Here we show that these conductors, printed in a single step, can serve as resistive curvature sensors for soft robots. These sensors yield information about the true curvature of a soft robotic actuator within the limits of the hysteresis and the creep of the sensor. By creep, we mean slow change observed in the sensor output, even when the actuator is not moving. The main advantages of these sensors are that they: a) can be fabricated in a matter of hours; b) require only a little extra effort in the fabrication of the actuators; and c) are based on widely available equipment and materials.
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II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Overview of the fabrication method

The fabrication method is illustrated in Fig. 1. Conductive ink was added onto a screen lying on a thermoplastic polyurethane elastomer sheet. The ink is transferred to the substrate through a mesh, except in the areas covered by a stencil.

In a single step, we fabricate a shape with two pads and a long, conductive path (Fig. 2a). We used a silver flake-based ink for the sensors. A photograph of the screen-printing process is shown in Fig. 2b. After printing, the sensors are wired, cut and integrated into the pneumatic actuators. The actuator consists of two parts: an upper part with pneumatic chambers and a base with a strain-limiting layer (fiberglass). Upon pressurizing, the pneumatic chambers expand, resulting in the bending of the actuator. The sensors are stacked on top of the strain-limiting layer before the assembly of the actuator. Resistance measurement can be used to estimate the curvature of the actuator.

B. Fabrication of the curvature sensors

The sensors were fabricated on thermoplastic polyurethane substrate (Epurex Platilon / U4201), which is a stretchable, abrasion resistant, chemically inert, 50 µm thick, transparent sheet. Most of the results in this paper were done using silver ink (ECM / CI-1036), but we also tested carbon ink (ECM / CI-2051) for comparison. The silver ink had a quoted sheet resistance of < 10 mΩ sq⁻¹ at 25.4 µm and a curing temperature of 120°C for 10 minutes, which is lower than the softening range of the elastomer. The carbon ink had a quoted sheet resistance of < 40 Ω sq⁻¹ at 25.4 µm. The screens (Finnseri Oy) had a mesh count of 79 threads cm⁻¹ and a mesh opening of 69 µm⁻¹.

The screen-printing starts by slightly stretching the substrate and attaching it to an aluminum plate. The substrates are then cleaned with isopropyl alcohol. The printing is done in two continuous printing cycles by a semi-automatic screen-printing machine (TIC / SCF-300). The process is completed with an oven annealing (silver ink: 30 min at 125 °C, and carbon: 10 min at 90 °C). The annealing temperature and time were increased for the silver due to the heat absorption of the aluminum carriers [35]. The sheet resistances of the silver and carbon traces (measured after the annealing) are approximately 45 mΩ sq⁻¹ and 300 Ω sq⁻¹, respectively. Finally, wires are attached to the pads of the conductive print using silver paint (MG Chemicals / 8331-14G).

C. Fabrication of the soft pneumatic actuators

The pneumatic actuator design is from Mosadegh et al. [14] and detailed drawings can be found in the Soft Robotics Toolkit.
for the silver sensor. We measured the resistance $R$ of the sensors using a digital multimeter (National Instruments / USB-4065). Multichannel strain measurements were made using a strain/bridge input module (National Instruments / NI-9237). For the bridge measurements, $100 \, \Omega$ or $102 \, \Omega$ resistors were added in series with the sensors, while the quarter-bridge was made into a half-bridge with $120 \, \Omega$ resistors.

We used K- and J-type thermocouples to monitor the temperature of the actuator during the experiments.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Resistance – curvature relationship of the silver sensors

To characterize the silver sensors, we measured the resistance $R$ and curvature $\kappa$ while repeatedly cycling the pressure $p$ of the actuator (30 cycles). Each cycle was slow ($\sim 5 \text{ min}$) to avoid dynamic effects from the viscoelasticity of the elastomers. The results of the measurements are shown in Fig. 4. The sensitivity was $0.075 \, \Omega / \text{m}^{-1}$ and curvature $\kappa$.

3. In the initial state, $R = 15.8 \, \Omega$, $p = 12 \, \text{kPa}$, and $\kappa = 7 \, \text{m}^{-1}$. At their peak, $\Delta R = 1.7 \, \Omega$, $\Delta p = 47 \, \text{kPa}$ and $\Delta \kappa = 21 \, \text{m}^{-1}$. The sensitivity was $0.075 \, \Omega / \text{m}^{-1}$.

The relationship between resistance $R$ and curvature $\kappa$ is linear and hysteric, with a maximum hysteresis of 17 % (Fig. 3). The relationship between pressure $p$ and curvature $\kappa$ is non-linear and without hysteresis (Fig. 4). This data is consistent with the previous reports of the hysteretic behavior of conductive inks [39]. However, even a linear resistance-curvature model has an $R^2$-statistic of 0.92. We conclude that the silver sensor can yield useful proprioceptive information from the actuator, limited by the hysteresis of the sensor material.

Note that the sensor is $\sim 90 \text{ mm}$ long, while the whole actuator is $\sim 110 \text{ mm}$ long. Each small segment of the sensor is responsive to local strains and thus to local curvatures of the actuator, but when we measure the total resistance of the sensor,
we are measuring the average curvature $\kappa$ of the actuator, except in the small area at the tip not covered by the sensor. In practice, the local curvatures do not deviate much from the average curvature $\kappa$ for these types of actuators [38].

B. Temperature – resistance relationship for the silver sensors

The data in Fig. 3 does not rule out that the hysteresis is caused by temperature fluctuations within each cycle. To rule out this possibility, we measured the temperature coefficient of resistance and the normal temperature fluctuations during the experiments. We placed the actuator flat on a hot plate and measured the resistance $R$ as a function of the temperature. The thermocouple was inserted into the pneumatic chamber inside the actuator. The resistance $R$ was recorded at the moment when the temperature was first reached. The results of these measurements are shown in Fig. 5. The temperature coefficient of the resistance is 

$$
\frac{\Delta R}{R} \approx 0.01 \degree C^{-1},
$$

which is slightly higher than the temperature coefficient of pure silver: 0.0038 $\degree C^{-1}$.

To see if this could contribute to the hysteresis in our cycling experiments, we measured the temperature fluctuations of the air entering or leaving the actuator. The air temperature was taken from the air entering / exiting the actuator, right next to the inlet. The results (Fig. 6) show that during the first cycle, the air heated up from 25.0 $\degree C$ to 25.7 $\degree C$, but after the first few cycles, the temperature fluctuations are within $\Delta T \approx 0.3 \degree C$. These fluctuations are caused by our pressure controller, and the estimated contribution to resistance change is $(dR/dT)\Delta T \approx 0.04 \Omega$. Thus, we conclude that the air temperature fluctuations cannot be the dominant effect to explain the hysteresis in Fig. 3.

C. Linear strain estimates

To estimate the linear strain $\varepsilon$ for our silver sensors, our first approach was to use the ideal bending relationship

$$
\Delta \varepsilon = d \Delta \kappa,
$$

where $d$ is the distance from the neutral plane. Assuming that the neutral plane is half-way through the fiberglass, the stack between the sensor and neutral plane is: fiberglass ($d = 125 \mu m$, half of the nominal thickness), silicone adhesive (we take $d = 500 \mu m$, but this is difficult to measure and varies from one sample to another) and polyurethane ($d = 50 \mu m$, nominal), the total distance from the neutral plane is $d = 675 \mu m$. Thus, using (1), we estimate $\Delta \varepsilon \approx 675 \mu m \cdot 21 m^{-1} \approx 1\%$. Our second approach was to use $\Delta R$ and the previously reported [37] small-strain gauge factor of $GF \approx 5.759$ and the relationship

$$
\Delta \varepsilon = \frac{\Delta R}{R \cdot GF}.
$$

to estimate $\Delta \varepsilon \approx 2\%$. Because of the difficulties of measuring the thickness of the soft sensor stack and the distance from the neutral plane $d$, we consider the resistance-based estimate of 2% strains to be more trustworthy.
D. Carbon sensors

To show that our approach is not limited to silver-based inks, we also tested printing the sensors using carbon-based inks. The resistance-curvature characterization for the actuator with a carbon sensor is shown in Fig. 7. Due to the specific resistance of the ink being higher, the absolute resistances are also higher; in a neutral state, the resistance $R_{\text{carbon}} = 56.5 \, \Omega$. The sensitivity of the carbon sensor was 0.31 $\Omega/m^1$, and the maximum hysteresis 27%. Compared to the silver sensors, there is more non-linearity and creep in the carbon sensors, which is why we focused on the silver sensors in our studies. Nevertheless, a linear resistance-curvature model still has a $R^2$-statistic of 0.64, supporting our claim that carbon sensors can also be used to estimate the bending of the actuator.

E. Decoupling pressure contribution from the curvature measurement

We then checked whether the pressure changes make a direct contribution to the silver sensor measurements. We measured the curvature $\kappa$, resistance $R$ and pressure $p$ in four different states (Fig. 8), where the actuator was bent or prevented from bending by an external force (a hand) in addition to being driven by internal pressure. The resistance measurement is closer to the curvature measurement, but also shows some response to the pressure changes. The underlying reason for the pressure response is that the sensor responds to strains, but during pressurization of the actuator, not all strains are just from ideal bending. Simple linear decoupling found using the method of least-squares

$$\kappa_{\text{estimate}} = \alpha R + \beta p + \gamma,$$

where $\alpha = 17.1 \, m^1\Omega^1$, $\beta = -0.230 \, m^1kPa^1$ and $\gamma = -254 \, m^1$ yielded the estimate shown in Fig. 8. Thus, approximately $\frac{\Delta \kappa}{\Delta R} \approx 70\%$ of the sensor signal comes from the curvature changes alone. Furthermore, comparing $\kappa_{\text{estimate}}$ to the pressure curve allows the detection of whether the actuator has been blocked or is being forced. We conclude that the sensor is mainly a curvature sensor and an external pressure measurement can be used to decouple the curvature estimate.

F. Dynamic response of the actuator and the sensor

To study the dynamics of the system, we performed step experiments by fully opening or closing the valve, with the supply pressure adjusted to $\sim 50$ kPa. Ground truth was recorded using a 50 fps video. Fig. 9 shows the results. The actuator exhibits approximately underdamped, second-order dynamics, with a damping ratio of $\sim 0.03$ and a damped natural frequency of $\sim 2.8$ Hz. This is comparable to the previously reported response times of 130 ms for similar actuators [14]. These oscillations are clearly visible in the sensor signal. However, there is additional slow creep in the sensor, which is...
not seen in the ground truth. Such creep is typical for elastomer-based sensors and mathematical models have been developed to compensate for it.\[40\] We conclude that the dynamic response of the sensor is faster than that of the actuator, so the sensor is not limiting the sensor-actuator system bandwidth, but the slow creep limits the absolute accuracy of the sensor.

G. Integrating sensors into a three-fingered soft robotic gripper

To show a practical application of the smart actuators in soft robots, we fabricated a three-fingered gripper with sensors (Fig. 10). The fingers can be driven independently. One base was shared between the fingers and included the sensors. Fig. 10b shows the gripper picking up an object. Fig. 10c shows snapshots from the experiment where each of the fingers was sequentially actuated (see also the Supplementary Video for the experiment). Fig. 10d shows the resistances and pressures during the experiment. The channels are mostly independent. This demonstration shows that the sensors can be applied in practical robot designs.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The screens and inks we used are readily available and the sensors can be printed directly onto elastomeric substrates in a timeframe of hours. Different sensor designs can be achieved simply by changing the screen stencil. The gauge factors, neutral resistances and dynamic characteristics vary from one sample to another, due to variations in the printing runs. We recommend calibrating every sensor individually. Also, the effects of hysteresis, temperature and creep limit the absolute accuracy of the sensors. We foresee using Preisach-type hysteresis models\[41\] to compensate for the hysteresis. Temperature effects could be reduced by using half-/full-bridge measurements. However, many practical robotic applications do not need absolute accuracy, only relative. For example, only relative measurement is needed to detect whether a soft robot finger has just collided with an object, as we have demonstrated.

Table I compares our results to the literature in which strain sensors have been applied for soft robotic actuators. In particular, Pinto \textit{et al.} \[29\] have printed their carbon nanotube-based sensors with the aid of a stencil. Compared to that work, the main advantages of our method are: a) our sensors can be fabricated in a single print, instead of the two prints required in their method; b) using a mesh and a semi-automated screen-printer, the thickness can be controlled better than it can when the ink is applied manually through a stencil.

In Table I, we also see that the hysteresis values reported here are quite typical for resistive sensors. In particular, compared to \[29\], the hysteresis of our silver sensors was slightly lower. However, optical sensors generally have much lower hysteresis than resistive sensors, so they might be a better choice for applications where high-accuracy absolute measurements are needed. The main advantage of resistive sensing is the ease of measurement.

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material / Product</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Tested strains (%)</th>
<th>GF</th>
<th>Hysteresis (%)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silver ink</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon ink</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon nanotube</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallium-Indium</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flex sensor®</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bend sensor®</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionic hydrogels</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastomer waveguide</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~ 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene optical fiber</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~ 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\text{R} = \text{Resistive, C = Capacitive, O = Optical}\]

\[\text{a} \text{ Gauge factor, } \Delta R/(R e) \text{ for resistive sensors, } \Delta C/C e \text{ for capacitive}\]

\[\text{b} \text{ Estimated from Fig. 6a in [29]}\]

\[\text{c} \text{ Estimated from Fig. 9a in [29]}\]

\[\text{d} \text{ Value from [42]}\]

\[\text{e} \text{ Estimated from Fig. 5 in [31]}\]

\[\text{f} \text{ From Table 1 in [17] and using relation } \varepsilon = \theta r/L_0, L_0 = 50.8 \text{ mm, } r = 10 \text{ mm}\]

\[\text{g} \text{ Estimated from Fig. 2b in [30]}\]
Finally, Table I shows that the strains we tested are quite low compared to other studies. This is not due to a fundamental limitation of our sensor, but a consequence of the sensor placement in our actuators. Our coauthors have previously shown that the silver ink does not fail, even at 50% strains. [37] In summary, we have shown that screen-printing is a promising route for the integration of curvature sensors into soft robots. This clears the path towards soft robots that react to their surroundings.
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