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Abstract:

A high speed slurry-pot wear tester was developed for close-to-reality heavy-duty wear testing of materials used in mineral applications. The samples are attached on four levels in a pin mill configuration. The tester and the developed sample rotation test method deliver reproducible results. This study focuses on the effects of testing parameters in large particle slurry testing. Parameters such as the speed, particle size and slurry concentration were varied. Also the effect of test duration was examined. Round steel samples and slurry of water and granite gravel were used for testing. The test parameter variations were 4 to 10 mm for particle size, up to 23 wt-% for concentration and up to 20 m/s for the sample tip speed. The relationships between the parameters are discussed. Also the kinetic energy of the large abrasive particles is considered. Wear surfaces studied with optical and electron microscopy are also presented and discussed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Slurry erosion wear can be divided into two main wear mechanisms: abrasion and surface fatigue. With increasing particle size, the wear mechanisms in the slurry systems shift from mild abrasion and surface fatigue towards high stress impact-abrasion for ductile materials. In the mining industry, erosion is typically the major wear mode for example in slurry pumping and mineral transportation and processing. Similar wear mechanisms can also be active in rock drilling and excavation. In mineral handling applications such as slurry transportation, the particle size can be as large as several centimetres with slurry speed up to 30 m/s.
A new slurry-pot erosion wear tester was developed at the Tampere Wear Center for slurry erosion testing with high speeds and large particles. The tester is based on the pin mill sample configuration, which differentiates it from most of the other slurry-pot testers in use. To enable testing also with large size abrasives, the pin mill configuration was chosen because of its strong and durable structure. In the pin mill type slurry-pot wear tester, several samples are attached horizontally to a rotating central shaft on various levels. Other types of slurry-pot test equipment have vertical samples attached to a supporting disc or arms on the same height. These are also called whirling disc or whirling arm slurry-pots. The most commonly used slurry-pots are variants of the whirling arm equipment.

In the published slurry-pot tests, small abrasive particles have been used, mostly smaller than one millimetre in average size. Moreover, sample speeds have normally been below 10 m/s. Therefore the published studies about the effects of particle size on slurry erosion have been mainly done with particle sizes around one millimetre, such as by Clark et al. Only a few studies have been conducted using larger particles, such as the pin mill studies by Jankovic, who used particles up to 5 millimetres in size.

Possible problems in controlling the test environment and assuring reliable test results due to the non-uniform flow patterns and concentration variations inside the slurry-pot testers during the test have been reported earlier. Gandhi et al. stated that the slurry concentration varies from bottom to top due to the flow patterns. In the vertical sample slurry-pots, it is common to use a propeller at the bottom of the pot to circulate the slurry in the pot. In the pin mill type slurry pot, the pin-like samples act as propellers and mix and pump the slurry. In the new high speed slurry-pot erosion wear tester the challenges with non-uniform flow of the slurry were solved by a sample rotation method. By rotating the samples evenly through all sample levels, the overall deviations between the samples are minimised and the tests are highly reproducible. With this method, as many as eight materials can be tested simultaneously.
In the present work, the effect of various test parameters, such as sample speed, particle size and slurry concentration, were studied with the novel high speed slurry-pot erosion wear tester. The effect of test duration was also examined. The aim was to obtain a better understanding of the testing conditions and to acquire more knowledge about large particle testing for further development of the method.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A new pin mill type high speed slurry-pot was used to cover various testing conditions in slurry erosion wear with round stainless steel samples. Figure 1 presents the cross-section of the slurry-pot. The fins on the inner surface of the pot control the slurry flow and prevent concentration of the abrasives on the walls.

1 Construction of the pin mill type slurry-pot unit with round samples

Figure 2 presents the equipment at the Tampere Wear Center. The main shaft is bearing mounted on the lid, which seals the pot. The main shaft and the lid are connected to the motor, which enables easy access
to the samples by lifting the shaft out from the pot. Table 1 presents the main characteristics of the equipment.1

2 The high speed slurry-pot equipment
Table 1  Main characteristics of the slurry-pot equipment

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pot</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>273 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>300 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main shaft</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>60 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Motor</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>7.5 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample levels</strong></td>
<td>from bottom of pot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>145 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>110 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>40 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to the slurry flow patterns, in the pin mill slurry-pot the samples on different levels are exposed to different slurry concentrations. Therefore, the samples are rotated vertically in the different sample levels.\(^1\)

Table 2 presents the rotation of the samples in a 4x5 minute test. One test is composed of four parts to have a complete rotation of the samples. The abrasive is changed and the samples are weighted every five minutes, i.e., during the sample level changes. The length of the run time was selected based on the erosion rate and abrasive comminution tests.

Table 2  Sample rotation scheme and run durations used in the tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time [min]</th>
<th>Sample levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>L1 L2 L3 L4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>L4 L1 L2 L3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>L3 L4 L1 L2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>L2 L3 L4 L1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The samples can be either full-length going through the sample holder and the shaft, or half-length so that two separate samples can be used on each level. Therefore, the tests can be done either with four full-length
samples or eight half-length samples. Figure 3 presents the dimensions of both sample lengths used in the current study. In the current tests, both full- and half-length AISI 316 stainless steel samples were used. Hardness of the samples was about 200 HV, and in general the minimum yield strength of the material is 240 MPa and the tensile strength 510 – 770 MPa. This steel was also used in the initial development of the tester and the testing method. The steel was selected firstly because it is rather soft so that the mass loss changes due to the varied testing parameters are easily and reliably detectable, and secondly because of its corrosion resistance so that the corrosion effect is minimised, although in large particle slurry erosion corrosion is in a minor role for all metals.

Granite gravel from Sorila quarry in Finland was used as the abrasive. The used particle size distributions were 4/6.3 mm, 6.3/8 mm and 8/10 mm. The maximum abrasive size that can be used with the current sample assembly is limited by the 10 mm space between the samples and the fins shown in Fig. 1.
3 Dimensions of round full-length (upper) and half-length (lower) samples. The 4 mm wide notches in the samples are for fixing them to the sample holder with a set screw.

In the tests, the amount of gravel was varied from one to three kilograms. Thus, the slurry concentration varied from 9 to 23 wt-\%, when 10 litres of water was added. Moreover, the rotation speed of the main shaft was varied from 1000 to 2000 rpm. In terms of the sample tip speed, the rotation speed varied from 10 to 20 m/s. At the highest slurry concentration the rotation speed had to be reduced by 50 rpm, or 0.5 m/s, due to the power limitations of the motor running the slurry-pot. Due to the pin mill sample configuration the peripheral speed along the sample length varies.\(^1\) At 2000 rpm the sample speed is 6 – 20 m/s along the sample length. Table 3 presents the test program. The wear was determined by sample mass loss and the wear surfaces were characterised by optical and scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

Table 3 Testing parameters. Test ‘Weight1’ is the same as ‘Speed3’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test ID</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>Abrasive</th>
<th>Slurry concentration</th>
<th>Sample length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>main shaft</td>
<td>sample tip</td>
<td>size</td>
<td>weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed1</td>
<td>1000 rpm</td>
<td>10 m/s</td>
<td>8/10 mm</td>
<td>1 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed2</td>
<td>1500 rpm</td>
<td>15 m/s</td>
<td>8/10 mm</td>
<td>1 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed3</td>
<td>2000 rpm</td>
<td>20 m/s</td>
<td>8/10 mm</td>
<td>1 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size1</td>
<td>2000 rpm</td>
<td>20 m/s</td>
<td>4/6.3 mm</td>
<td>1 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size2</td>
<td>2000 rpm</td>
<td>20 m/s</td>
<td>6.3/8 mm</td>
<td>1 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size3</td>
<td>2000 rpm</td>
<td>20 m/s</td>
<td>8/10 mm</td>
<td>1 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight1</td>
<td>2000 rpm</td>
<td>20 m/s</td>
<td>8/10 mm</td>
<td>1 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight2</td>
<td>2000 rpm</td>
<td>20 m/s</td>
<td>8/10 mm</td>
<td>2 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight3</td>
<td>1950 rpm</td>
<td>20 m/s</td>
<td>8/10 mm</td>
<td>3 kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To determine the best run time for the sample rotation test method, tests with full-length AISI 316 samples, 2000 rpm speed and 9wt-% 8/10 mm granite gravel slurry were performed without sample rotation for
different run times. Figure 4 presents the average results for these tests. Decrease of the wear rate with longer run times is evident.

![Graph showing mass loss vs. test time for different run times.](image)

4 **Average mass loss for different run times**

The reason for the decrease in the erosion rate is the comminution of the abrasive particles during testing. The five minute run time was selected to ensure the presence of a sufficient portion of large abrasive particles till the end of the test. Moreover, when the test is repeated four times, the steel samples show a measureable mass loss.
3.1. Sample speed tests

According to the test program, three different speeds ranging from 10 to 20 m/s were used with large 8/10 mm granite particles. The same eight half-length samples were used in all three tests. Before the first test the samples were pretested at 2000 rpm for reaching the steady state wear condition. The pretest with fresh samples showed almost 8 percent lower mass loss than the following actual tests with the same sample speed.

Figure 6 presents the average results for eight samples tested at different speeds. The standard deviations of the final results varied from 2 to 4 percent. Also the number of main shaft rotations varied with sample speed as the test time was the same in all tests. Figure 7 shows the results as mass loss per the number of main shaft rotations.
6 Test results of the sample speed tests for different sample tip speeds

7 Mass loss per the number of main shaft rotations for the tested speeds

Although the kinetic energy of particles increases with speed, saturation of the mass loss per shaft rotations towards higher speeds can be noticed. This can be explained by abrasive comminution, as at higher sample speeds the abrasives are crushed faster to a smaller size and the particles kinetic energy is reduced. In addition, the edges of the granite particles become more rounded at higher speeds, which also decrease the wear rate. It seems therefore evident that the results are affected by the competition between the kinetic energy and comminution of the abrasives.
3.2. Particle size tests

The particle size tests were done with three different particle sizes ranging from 4 to 10 mm. The running-in of the full length samples was done with 8/10 mm particle size at the same speed as the actual tests.

Figure 8 presents the average results after full 20 minute testing in the abrasive size order. The standard deviations within each three-sample sets varied between 0.2 and 0.9 percent. In the results, a slight upward trend with increasing particle size can be noticed. This is quite expected, as smaller particles with lower impact energy tend to cause less erosion wear in the sample.\(^6\) When comparing the particle size test results with the results of the speed and concentration tests, the results have to be divided by two because of the longer sample length. Figure 9 shows an example how the mass losses develop during a sample rotation test. From the graph it is evident that the wear rate decreases clearly on sample level 3 (L3).

![Graph showing average mass loss for different particle sizes](image)

Test results for the three different initial particle sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle Size</th>
<th>Average Mass Loss [g]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/6.3 mm</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3/8 mm</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/10 mm</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9 Cumulative mass loss in a sample rotation test with 4/6.3 mm particle size

Although in these tests the particle size was the varied parameter, it was not the only changing parameter. With an increase in the particle size, the number of particles decreases when the slurry mass concentration is kept unchanged, i.e. the total weight of the particles stays the same. When this is taken into account and the total mass loss is divided by an estimate of the initial particle count, a strong trend is clearly visible in Fig. 10, which presents the results as mass loss per particle count.

10 Mass loss per initial particle count for the tested particle sizes
3.3. Slurry concentration tests

For the slurry concentration tests two tests (‘Weight2’ and ‘Weight3’) were made. The results of test ‘Speed3’ were used as test ‘Weight1’, as denoted in Table 3. The same half-length samples were used as in the speed tests, so no running-in was needed. To study the wear surfaces after the higher concentration tests, i.e., tests with 16 and 23 wt-% concentrations, two fresh and untested samples per each test were used and studied with a stereo microscope and SEM after the tests.

Figure 11 presents the average results during the tests. The standard deviations of the final results ranged from 2.6 to 3.8 percent. The results are quite expected, i.e., higher concentration means more particles in the slurry, which again means more mass loss in the sample.

11 Results of the slurry concentration tests

Figure 12 presents the final mass loss results as a function of abrasive concentration. The dashed trend line is set to start at the origin of the plot. Although it is not directly evident from the results, it could be expected that with increasing concentration the wear rate stabilises at a certain level when the particles start to collide more with each other than with the samples. Also embedding of the surfaces with abrasive
particles is increased when more particles are present, which can decrease the mass loss as the embedded particles start to shield the surface.

12 Mass losses at different slurry concentrations

3.4. Wear surfaces

During testing the sample tips were rounded heavily. Figure 13 presents’ stereo microscope images of the sample tips after tests with 16 and 23 wt-% slurry concentrations. A clear difference in the material removal at the sample tips can be noticed, as higher slurry concentration causes more severe tip rounding. A similar effect was notable in all tests.
Sample tips after the tests with 23 wt-% (left) and 16 wt-% (right) slurry concentrations

Figure 14 shows a general view of a wear surface tested with a high slurry concentration. Superficially the wear surfaces looked essentially the same after each test, but the smaller details of slurry erosion wear, such as the depth and number of impact craters, length of the abrasive scars, or amount of embedded abrasive particles varied. Tests with high slurry concentrations left much more embedded particles on the specimen surfaces than the tests with lower concentrations. Also the abrasive wear scars on the surfaces were short and scarce. Clearly more scars were found in specimens tested with lower concentrations.

Figure 15 presents a more detailed view of the wear surface produced with a high slurry concentration, showing that the surface is more deformed and rougher due to the higher amount of impacts caused by the higher amount of abrasive particles in the slurry.
Wear surface after a test with a slurry concentration of 23 wt-%
SEM images of the wear surface after a test with 23 wt-% slurry concentration, a) secondary electron image showing the surface profile and b) back-scatter electron image showing the embedded abrasive particles as dark regions. Both images are from the same location.

Figure 16 present scars on the samples tested with 16 wt-% slurry. In the slurry erosion of ductile steels, abrasion is the major mechanism causing mass losses. Other wear processes such as impacts are mostly deforming the surface, and because of that the abrasion scars are scarce and mostly short. The longer the test time or the higher the concentration, the less the scars are visible.
SEM images of the wear surface after a test with 16 wt-% slurry concentration, a) a long wear scar and an embedded abrasive particle in the middle of it and b) multiple short wear scars

4. CONCLUSIONS

- The high-speed slurry erosion wear tester can be used to simulate various applications involving mineral handling and processing.
• Problems with the non-constant test environment inside the slurry-pot are solved by the test method.

• Slurry erosion with large particle sizes was studied with three different sample speeds, particle sizes and slurry concentrations.

• At high sample speeds the mass loss is in general higher than at low speeds. However, the wear rate starts to stabilise at higher sample speeds when all other parameters are kept unchanged. The kinetic energy competes with the comminution of the abrasive particles. At higher speeds the kinetic energy of abrasive particles is higher, but because of increasing comminution the energy per particle (impact) decreases faster.

• The mass loss increases exponentially with particle size. Larger particles have more kinetic energy and they withstand comminution longer than smaller particles.

• With increasing slurry concentration the sample mass losses become higher. At very high concentrations, however, collisions of particles with each other and the amount of embedded particles increase, decreasing the wear rate.
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