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A speed-optimized RGB-Z capture system with improved denoising capabilities
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ABSTRACT

We have developed an end-to-end system for 3D scene sensing which combines a conventional high-resolution RGB camera with a low-resolution Time-of-Flight (ToF) range sensor. The system comprises modules for range data denoising, data re-projection and non-uniform to uniform up-sampling and aims at composing high-resolution 3D video output for driving auto-stereoscopic 3D displays in real-time. In our approach, the ToF sensor is set to work with short integration time with the aim to increase the capture speed and decrease the amount of motion artifacts. However, reduced integration time leads to noisy range images. We specifically address the noise reduction problem by performing a modification of the non-local means filtering in spatio-temporal domain. Time-consecutive range images are utilized not only for efficient denoising but also for accurate non-uniform to uniform up-sampling on the high-resolution RGB grid. Use is made of the reflectance signal of the ToF sensor for providing a confidence-type of feedback to the denoising module where a new adaptive averaging is proposed to effectively handle motion artifacts. As of the non-uniform to uniform resampling of range data is concerned, we have developed two alternative solutions; one relying entirely on the GPU power and another being applicable to any general platform. The latter method employs an intermediate virtual range camera recentering after with the resampling process degrades to a 2D interpolation performed within the low-resolution grid. We demonstrate a real-time performance of the system working in low-power regime.
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1. INTRODUCTION

3D video is a type of visual media, which delivers to the viewer a 3D depth perception of the observed scenery. The interest in 3D video production has notably increased recently along with the advances in 3D display technologies. This includes novel depth sensing camera hardware, combined with 3D analysis and image processing algorithms. Research in this area spans the whole media processing chain from capture to display [1]. 3D video technology can be applied in wide variety of applications, including 3D cinema, home 3DTV production and 3D video services for mobile devices. However, nowadays a fully automated 3D video content generation is still a challenging task. The problem is that to achieve high quality output, and multiple (free) viewpoints of the captured scene, the scene geometry and object’s texture have to be accurately captured, estimated and reconstructed. Also, essential problems are caused by ambiguities and occlusions as well as noise and other inaccuracies in signal acquisition and calibration parameters, thus reliable estimation cannot always be guaranteed.

Accurate depth sensing is the most important part concerning 3D video output generation. There are many approaches to obtain scene depth information. In case of dynamic scenes acquisition, Time-of-Flight (ToF) sensors are favored as they are capable to deliver range images at real-time rates [2]. For depth data acquisition ToF camera based on the Photonic Mixer Device (PMD) principle is used [2, 3]. These cameras deliver per pixel distance information with high frame rates and thus are suitable for dynamic scene capturing. While there are variations of the technology, a conventional ToF camera acquires range (or distance) data by using active sensing illumination. A beamer illuminates the whole scene with near-infrared light and a CMOS sensor senses the light reflected back by scene objects. Every pixel in the sensor array independently computes the correlation of the received reflected light with the phase-shifted reference signal. The calculated phase-delay output of the mixed signal is proportional to the sensed range. However, current ToF devices have certain technology limitations such as low spatial resolution compared to e.g. full High-Definition (HD) resolution, and limited ability to capture color information [4]. A solution in this case is to combine two or more separate devices responsible for color (RGB) and range (Z) data.
Setups described in [5, 6, 7] utilize configuration with a single color view and a single range device. Approaches described in [6,7] suggest up-sampling the low resolution depth maps by means of adaptive bilateral filtering preventing edge blurring in geometry data while smoothing it over homogeneous regions. However, such approaches require the input depth and color data of the scene to be pixel aligned and not influenced by range uncertainties of projected position. A scene reconstruction method that iteratively adds every unique scene portion of scene data utilizing both geometric and color properties of the already acquired parts of the scene is proposed in [7]. Another data fusion process has been proposed in [7]. In this approach, the data fusion is implemented by mapping the ToF data as 3D point clouds and projecting them onto the color camera sensor plane, resulting in pixel-to-pixel projection alignment of color and depth maps. Subsequently, the color image is back-projected as a texture onto ToF sensor plane.

When several multiple color cameras are available at the capturing setup, ToF data can be combined with disparity correspondence map (disparity map) estimated by stereo-matching algorithms. Error characteristics of depth estimate provided by ToF sensor and stereo matching are complementary. ToF can estimate depth in situations where stereo matching does not work, e.g. texture-less or repetitive scene regions. On the other hand, ToF camera can face a number of sensor-specific challenges such as limited spatial resolution, distant objects, low reflectivity or high reflectivity areas which may produce weak or over-saturated signal. In [8], depth probability distribution function from each source is measured and then combined in a single improved depth map. An interesting solution of combining stereo and ToF data is described in [9]. The algorithm can utilize more than two images for stereo matching and produces a dense depth map for one of the available color views by calculating per-pixel cost function. In [10], ToF range measurements are first converted to stereo disparities so that they can be linked to the image pairs in order to initialize a stereo matching algorithm resulting in high-quality disparity maps.

The present contribution focuses on real-time 3D video rendering by combining a high-resolution color image, denoted as RGB data with a low-resolution range data, denoted as Z data and acquired by an aligned camera setup of one or several color cameras and a single ToF range sensor. The motivation is to create an end-to-end system that enables synchronous multi-sensor scene capture, range data de-noising, projection-alignment data fusion, and rendering on the fly of resulted 3D video content on a multi-view auto-stereoscopic 3D display. The main goal is to output an accurate HD dense depth map from captured range data that corresponds to the high-resolution color image. This involves view projection of the range data converted into depth map and consequent re-sampling to the color camera sensor grid (or more specifically, up-sampling to the resolution of the HD color camera grid). The paper proposes a fast algorithm for dense depth map generation that utilizes computer graphics rendering tools. While our realization fully relies on parallel Graphics Processing Units (GPU) resources, we propose solutions that enable high-speed implementation also on a general CPU system. This includes ideas for fast data re-sampling, optical system correction, and occlusion detection. Special attention is paid to the problem of noise reduction in ToF range data, which is important for reliable projection alignment and data fusion, especially, when data is captured in poor sensing conditions. This is a typical case, when the sensor is more restricted, for example to fit requirements of low-power consumption and low-computational complexity on portable devices. A modification of the non-local means filtering in spatio-temporal domain is applied to address this problem. The possibility of combining multiple depth images acquired with lower integration time to achieve better de-noising output is demonstrated.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a brief overview of the 3D graphics pipeline and OpenGL rendering mechanism. Section 3 describes the generalized system architecture and application process flow. Section 4 presents in detail the implementation of data fusion approach and the proposed re-sampling solution. The GPU based approach to camera view rendering and occlusion detection is presented in Section 5 and the rest of section explains a workaround approach for avoiding data remapping for optical distortion correction. Section 6 is dedicated to a proposed de-noising solution of ToF data. Section 7 presents some experimental results and demonstrates the algorithm performance, while Section 8 concludes the paper.

### 2. 3D RENDERING PIPELINE AND OPENGL VERTEX TRANSFORMATIONS

#### 2.1 Rendering pipeline

*3D Rendering* is the process of producing a digital image based on three-dimensional scene description. A rendering algorithm takes as input a stream of primitives (e.g. triangles, lines, points, etc.) that define the geometry of a scene. The primitives are processed in a series of steps, where each step forwards the results to the next one. The sequence of steps required to implement the rendering algorithm is called 3D pipeline or graphics pipeline [11]. Some components of modern graphics pipeline are fixed and implemented using dedicated logic, while others are programmable and can be provided to a graphical processor in a form of special *shader* programs. Standard pipeline is controlled through graphics...
APIs, such as OpenGL and Direct3D, which modify the rendering state and supply input to the pipeline. Programming shaders is possible with high level shading languages, such as OpenGL Shading Language (GLSL), which is based on the syntax of the ANSI C programming language. Figure 1 shows a simplified representation of a modern 3D pipeline. Rendering begins with the supply of surface primitives to the GPU. A primitive is defined by its vertices and described by 3D location, surface normal vectors, texturing, color information, and material properties. A vertex shader operates on individual vertices, one at a time. This block can only manipulate the vertex properties. Primitive assembly is responsible for assembling the transformed vertices back into primitives. Geometry shader takes as input a whole primitive and has access to all defining elements. The output of a geometry shader can be zero or more primitives, i.e. some primitives can be filtered out or new primitives can be generated. The non-programmable rasterization and interpolation block defines a set of pixel-size fragments that are part of a primitive. The value of each vertex attribute (color, etc.) is interpolated for each fragment. Fragment shader computes the final color value of each fragment. It can access the fragment position, and all the interpolated data computed in the rasterization process (only current fragment and its associated data). It changes the fragment depth value and use textures to produce special effects. Raster operations block includes depth test to determine if the fragment is hidden by already rendered fragments followed by blending of the fragment color with the color of the already rendered pixel.

2.2 OpenGL vertex transformations

Just like the graphics pipeline, transforming a vertex is done step-by-step. Each transformation transforms a vertex coordinates into a new coordinate system, moving to the next step (Figure 1). The model matrix – $M_{model}$ transforms a position in model coordinates to the world position. The view matrix transforms world coordinates into eye coordinates, i.e. it represents a camera from where a model is observed. The projection matrix – $M_{proj}$ transforms eye coordinates into clip coordinates. It determines how the vertex is projected on the screen and the viewing volume of the scene (frustum). The resulting coordinates are called clip coordinates because the value of $w_{clip}$ is used to decide whether vertex – $v(x, y, z)$ is “clipped”. The vertex transformation is generalized as the product of the model, view and projection matrices.

$$v = M_{proj} \cdot M_{view} \cdot M_{model} \cdot v$$

(1)

Next, clip coordinates are transformed into a normalized device coordinate (NDC) by perspective division, which is obtained by dividing the clip coordinates with $w_{clip}$:

$$v(x, y, z)_{NDC} = v(x, y, z)/w_{clip}$$

(2)

After, the NDC are scaled in order to fit into the rendering screen. Finally, the window coordinates are passed to the rasterization process to become a fragment.
3. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

3.1 Camera setup, system calibration and visualization hardware

We design a multi-sensor camera setup, by mounting standard color (2D) cameras aligned with a ToF range sensor. Cameras of model – Prosilica GE 1900C are used to obtain color data modality (Figure 2.a), while a single ToF camera – PMDTec CamCube 2.0 is used to sense scene range data (Figure 1.b). The whole acquisition setup and test scene are shown in Figure 2.c, d. The camera setup was calibrated with help of “Matlab Calibration Toolbox” [12]. The calibration process returns a list of camera intrinsic and extrinsic parameters. The intrinsic parameters for each camera include: focal length – \((f_x, f_y)\), principal point coordinates – \((p_x, p_y)\), and image distortion coefficients stored in vector \(d_{5x1}\) and defined by the intrinsic camera matrix – \(K_{3x3}\) as follows:

\[
K_{3x3} = \begin{bmatrix}
 f_x & 0 & p_x \\
 0 & f_y & p_y \\
 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\] (3)

The extrinsic parameters are: rotation matrix - \(R_{3x3}\) and translation vector – \(T_{3x1}\). The two parameters are combined for comfortable use into a single matrix – \(P\) as follows:

\[
P_{4x4} = \begin{bmatrix} R & T \\
 0_{1x3} & 1 \end{bmatrix},
\] (4)

where \(0_{1x3}\) is a zero vector. All the parameters have to be determined only once in a calibration stage (offline mode), after which the cameras are considered firmly fixed.

For the 3D video output a Dimenco 3D auto-stereoscopic display is used [13]. Table 1 summarized the characteristics of the cameras and the display.

Table 1. Camera setup specifications: a) for cameras, b) for 3D display.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>CamCube 2.0</th>
<th>Prosilica GE 1900C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>time-of-flight</td>
<td>color</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution[pixels]</td>
<td>204x204</td>
<td>1920x1080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement range[m]</td>
<td>0.3-7.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame rate[fps]</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field-of-view optics[°]</td>
<td>~39</td>
<td>~55°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data interface</td>
<td>USB 2.0</td>
<td>Gigabit Ethernet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Data capture and streaming

For the scene capture by a multi-camera setup, it is important to obtain image data from all the cameras synchronously. Software triggering mode was used for both cameras to synchronize data acquisition. A software command triggers the capture of an image, which is then transferred to the PC memory. To minimize the time lag between two trigger events, a separate thread is created to trigger each camera whenever the system is ready to update memory. So only when all cameras are ready, they get a capturing signal at the same time and no delay is observed between two images.

In order to decouple the updating and processing part, data streaming between cameras and the application are organized in producer-consumer-like manner using a fixed amount of reusable frames, where a frame is a couple of corresponding color and depth images (Figure 3.left part). Whenever an unused frame is available, a separate producer-thread updates the frame content and marks the frame as ready-to-use. A consumer-thread retrieves a ready frame and uploads the data.

Figure 3. Capturing, streaming, triggering and data processing (time consuming parts of processing chain are highlighted).
to the GPU memory, where the data is processed and rendered. After uploading, the frame is marked as unused and can be used by the producer module.

### 3.3 Data processing chain

The implementation steps of a full-processing chain from image acquisition to visualization on a 3D display is generalized into a unified framework and depicted in Figure 3. Capturing module provides a synchronized data acquisition from multiple sensors as explained in the previous subsection. Next module performs de-noising of range data utilizing a modified algorithm specific to ToF data. In the following stage, the distortion effect of ToF optical system is corrected by lens re-mapping algorithm, while the one of color camera is corrected during the texture coordinates assignment stage. The data fusion module is responsible for operations that project, convert, and align data into a dense depth map corresponding to the color camera viewing position and sensor resolution. An approximation module corrects resampling artifacts by utilizing some properties of the color modality. Finally, the color and corresponding depth maps are combined in various formats to be displayed on different kinds of 3D displays. As an additional step, fused data can be rendered as 3D textured surface mesh for viewing from an arbitrary viewpoint and further post-processing for other applications. The general framework shows that most of the modules are implemented on GPU, and we highlighted the ones, which influence the computational complexity most. The reason of GPU utilization is real-time, which is difficult to achieve if the same modules are implemented on other processors. The main focus of this contribution is to provide solutions for the stages of de-noising, optical distortion re-mapping and data fusion that can decrease the computational complexity.

### 4. DEPTH MAP CREATION

The content format used for the given 3D display is referred to as “2D-plus-Depth” or shortly “2D+Z” format [13]. The depth map is stored as a greyscale image side-by-side to the color image, so every pixel of the 2D content has a corresponding depth value for the same pixel position on each map (Figure 4). Such data format is typically used to render perspective views on auto-stereoscopic 3D displays, such as the display used in our experiments [14].

Having acquired 2D color image together with range data provided by the ToF camera, a 2D+Z frame is created by converting range to depth and assigning corresponding depth values to every pixel of the color image. This task imposes a major problem: The two cameras are not collinear, that is the positions of optical centers and view directions are different. Consequently, the two data maps of the observed scene are not mutually aligned. A projective-alignment correspondence between the depth and color data has to be applied, i.e. for every pixel of the depth sensor one has to find the corresponding location at each position of the color image. Generally, to obtain such depth-to-color correspondence 

\[ z = f_{PM} \cdot d(u, v) / \sqrt{f_{TOF}^2 + u^2 + v^2}, \]

\[ x = u \cdot z / f_{TOF}, \quad y = v \cdot z / f_{TOF}, \quad w = 1 \]

where, \( f_{TOF} \) is the focal length of the ToF sensor. The second step is to project every 3D point on the image plane of the color camera:

\[ (x, y, w)^{RCB} = K_{RGB} \cdot RT_{PM, RGB} \cdot (x, y, z, w)^T, \]

where \( RT_{PM, RGB} \) is the relative transformation between optical centers of the two cameras determined by the extrinsic parameters and \( K_{RGB} \) specifies intrinsic parameters of the color camera. Finally, the \( RGB \) image coordinates for a global point \( (x, y, z, w) \) are given by the normalized coordinates:

\[ (x, y, z)^{RGB} / w. \]

The data of the ToF camera is usually of very low-resolution (e.g. 200x200). When projected to the Full HD grid of the color camera (1920x1080), the corresponding points appear sparsely scattered between the pixel positions of the color image. Filling the unknown depth values on the regular (high-resolution) grid position is performed by non-uniform resampling techniques. Non-uniform to uniform mapping is a challenging task and still an open-research problem [16]. High-quality techniques impose also high-computational cost [15]. Depth data has the specifics of piece-wise smooth function (c.f. Figure 4.a), which offers some simplifications making use of triangulated non-uniform bi-linear resampling supported by the GPU. The approach is summarized in Table 2.
The method is notably local and requires small amount of memory (values of three vertices for each resampling position). The locality enables non-clashing memory handling in the process parallelization, a simple mechanism of data ordering (as in step 3.1.b), and hardware implementation on GPU. Such non-uniform resampling is considered a standard by means of computer graphics rendering and OpenGL/GPU utilization. Implementation-wise, the following steps are performed. First, the depth data from the ToF measurements are represented as a triangulated 3D surface mesh: triangles are formed in-between neighboring on the depth image grid vertices and every pixel of the depth image is converted into 3D vertex by Eq. 5. To get the surface modeled exactly as it is seen by ToF camera, the intrinsic parameters of the camera are used to obtain a proper projection matrix [17]. To do so, projective transformation $M_{\text{proj}}$ is realized in two steps:

$$M_{\text{proj}} = M_{\text{NDC}} \cdot M_{\text{persp}},$$

where $M_{\text{persp}}$ matrix converts a frustum-shaped space into a cubic shape, and $M_{\text{NDC}}$ converts the cubic space to normalized device coordinates. The intrinsic camera matrix specifies the camera perspective projection transformation and can be incorporated into $M_{\text{persp}}$ as follows:

$$M_{\text{persp}} = \begin{pmatrix} f_x & 0 & p_x & 0 \\ 0 & f_y & p_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & n + f & n \times f \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $f_x, f_y$ is the focal length, $(p_x, p_y)$ are the principal point coordinates, and near(n) and far(f) correspond to back and front clipping planes and may be set equal to camera measurement range, e.g. 0.3 and 7.0. The matrix $M_{\text{NDC}}$ is of the form:

$$M_{\text{NDC}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2(r - l) & 0 & 0 & (r + l)(r - l) \\ 0 & 2(b - t) & 0 & (b + t)(b - t) \\ 0 & 0 & -2(f - n) & (f + n)(f - n) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the choice of top(t), bottom(b), left(l), and right(r) clipping planes correspond to the dimensions of the original image and the coordinate conventions used during calibration (in our case – [0, 204, 0, 204] correspondingly). Second, to obtain the scene surface as seen from the color camera location, a view transformation is applied. The view matrix is formed by extrinsic parameters packed in the matrix $P$ (Eq. 4) obtained during the calibration process. The rendering result is a surface containing no gaps representing the scene observed from the position of the color camera. Rendering can be done to an off-screen frame buffer. Only the depth component is needed and it can be rendered directly to a texture. After the depth map corresponding to the color camera location is obtained any uniform interpolation technique can be used to up-sample it to the high-resolution format. Applying the rendering approach, the final 2D+Z frame can be created in two rendering passes. The pseudo-code is provided in Table 3.

**Table 2. Non-uniform resampling algorithm by bilinear triangulation**

1. Represent ToF range data as triangulated surface mesh – $M$.
2. Create empty memory buffer – $Z_r = \infty$ of HD grid size (e.g. 1920x1080).
3. For each triangle – $Tr$ in M, DO: render vertices in positions – $(A, B, C)$ with values – $(x, y, z)$ into HD grid.

3.1. Estimate all $N$ regular positions – $p(x, y)_n$ inside the triangle area of rendered vertices – $(A, B, C)$

3.1.a. For given three points, DO: Find a plane function – $F(x, y)_p = z'$

3.1.b. For each position – $n(n \in N)$, DO: Apply $F(x, y)_p$ for $p(x, y)_n \Rightarrow z'_n$

3.1.c. IF $Z[p(x, y)_n] > z'_n$, update position $p(x, y)_n$ in $Z_r$.

**Figure 4. 2D-plus-Depth(2D+Z) frame format: a) color and corresponding depth map, b) auto-stereoscopic display output**
Table 3. 2D+Z frame rendering pseudo-code.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First pass: create new view depth map</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Prepare the scene surface mesh data (3D vertices and connectivity).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Compute proper view and projection matrices for the camera.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Render data with parameters and position of the color camera.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Render the scene to the off-screen frame buffer and save Z-buffer as a texture.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second pass: rendering 2D+Z frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Prepare vertices data for two side-by-side quads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Transform vertices of quads so that they span the whole viewport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. In fragment, use color and depth textures, apply color texture and depth to the corresponding quads</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Resampling approach for the projection data alignment: a) general approach, b) proposed, c) real-case application.

The general re-sampling approach described above and illustrated in Figure 5.a is a computationally demanding operation and strongly dependent on the GPU for real-time execution. We propose an alternative approach for fast non-uniform resampling making use of some of the properties of the ToF data. More specifically, we consider the case when the two cameras are aligned horizontally (or vertically). Taking into account the high difference between the ToF and RGB sensors, we render the missing data in two steps as depicted in Figure 5.b.

In the first step, we render a virtual ToF camera on the position of the optical center of HD color camera using the original intrinsics and pose of the ToF camera. Rendering of such virtual camera manages to keep a bilinear non-uniform resampling in low-resolution grid instead of HD one. Because the cameras used in our setup are aligned, they provide relatively small depth parallax between optical centers according to the sensing operating range of the device (c.f. Figure 5.c) and no significant scaling effect is expected in the rendering process. This provides same or similar sampling density between initial and rendered data, which speeds the resampling process (step 3.1.a), because of few-pixel wide triangle areas. In the second step, we utilize the new camera relation property that is if cameras share same optical center, the rendering between views (for arbitrary $f$, $R$ and resolution scale) can be performed by a 2D back-projective transform by interpolating regular samples without distorting the 3D properties of the sensed scene data. In such resampling, each of HD grid pixel position is back-projected on the low-resolution grid, where the respective depth value is obtained by interpolation of surrounded pixels. Such interpolation can be performed very fast on various platforms (e.g. as in [19]).

An experiment in Subsection 7.1 demonstrates, that the proposed two-step rendering approach followed by a proper 2D back-projective re-sampling algorithm provides similar or better quality compared to the general non-uniform resampling scheme.

Figure 6. Omitting artificial triangles in depth surface: a) principle, b) no triangles are discarded, c) triangles are discarded.
5. ARBITRARY VIEW RENDERING AND OCCLUSION DETECTION AND OPTICAL DISTORTION HANDLING

Triangulation of the depth map and depth-image warping procedure explained in the previous section enable efficient rendering of any arbitrary view of the scene. The (x, y, z) coordinates of each point in the image are computed from the depth image grid coordinates and depth values, using the intrinsics of the camera. Every vertex of the surface is then assigned a texture coordinate (also known as a UV-coordinate) applying Eq. 5 and Eq. 6. The depth-map surface is rendered using the color values of the color image as texture. Whenever a new view of the scene has to be rendered, the coordinate system transformation of the new view is used as a view-matrix transformation – P (Eq. 4) to place the scene surface where needed in the world coordinate system.

Due to different viewing directions and position of the cameras, occlusion artifacts appear on the rendered depth map. There are different sources of such artifacts. First, occlusion artifacts occur at depth map in the areas non-visible from ToF camera position. The triangulated re-sampling explained above treats the entire depth map as a surface without discontinuities, connecting data on the background with boundaries of front-situated objects. Triangles spanning background and foreground points appear large and visually unrealistic, blocking visible information of the back-situated objects and background. Thus, it is important to determine whether triangles represent actual object surfaces or not. As observed in Figure 6, the artificial triangles have the property that the triangle normal is almost perpendicular to the vector from the initial ToF camera viewpoint to the triangle. That is, the dot product of the vectors is close to zero (c.f. Figure 6.a). Problematic triangles can be discarded inside a geometry shader with the following inequality using a threshold to control the amount of discarded triangles:

\[ n_i \cdot p < \tau \],  

where \( t \) is a triangle index, \( n \) is the normal of \( t \), \( p \) is the direction from camera position to the center of the triangle, \( \bullet \) is the vector dot product operation, and \( \tau \) is a threshold parameter. Another type of occlusions artifacts appear in the areas of the depth map surface, which become occluded when seen from the color camera view. For these surface regions the mapping procedure assigns erroneous color information as it warps to the same region of the texture map as the occluding parts. A simple approach to detect erroneous color assignments is to adopt a shadow-mapping technique, which is used to create shadows in 3D computer graphics [20]. The basic shadow map algorithm consists of two passes (Figure 7.a). In the first pass, the scene viewed from the point of the light source is rendered to the off-screen frame buffer. The frame buffer contains a depth buffer, which after rendering stores minimal per-pixel depth that is the z-distance of the rendered scene and can be saved as a texture. In the second pass, the scene is rendered again from the viewpoint of the light source, but for every fragment its z-coordinate is compared to the value of the z-buffer entry with the same (x, y)-coordinates: if current z-value is bigger than the one stored in z-buffer, i.e. there is something in between the current fragment and the light source, the fragment is considered to be in shadow. In our case the color camera plays the role of the light source and for a fragment ‘to be in shadow’ means ‘to be occluded’, i.e. not seen from the color camera. During the color assignment, if a fragment is hidden the color assignment is skipped. Otherwise, the color assignment is performed using texture mapping. Results are illustrated in Figure 7.b and 7.c. The steps for an arbitrary view rendering with occlusion detection are summarized in Table 4.
Due to distortions of optical system, additional data transformations have to be performed in order to achieve more exact projection alignment. Optical distortions are modeled as of radial and tangential effect [18]:
\[
p_\delta = \left(1 + d_1 \cdot r^2 + d_2 \cdot r^4 + d_3 \cdot r^6\right) \cdot p + dx,
\]
where \(r^2 = p_x^2 + p_y^2\) and \(dx\) define the tangential distortion which is omitted in our case. The same function is used for remapping process. Usually, both color and depth images are undistorted before any rendering takes place, but this is an undesirable time-consuming operation, especially for a high-resolution color image, as it leads to remapping and interpolating for each pixel in the image grid. However, optical distortions can be corrected without remapping before the projection alignment is applied and described as summarized in Table 5.

### Table 5. Optical system correction steps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Apply un-distortion transformation of ToF camera to 3D point clouds before projection step - just apply transform in world space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Distort again 3D point clouds with distort transformation of color camera, where data should be projected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Apply projection alignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. Effect of noisy influence of low-sensing environment on fusion process stages: a) range data, b) fused data, c) effect on occlusion detection.

## 6. DE-NOISING OF TOF RANGE DATA

A typical ToF device consists of a beamer, an electronic light modulator and a sensor chip. The beamer is made of an array of light-emitting diodes (LED) operating in near-infrared wavelengths (e.g. 850 nm). It radiates a point-source light of a continuously-modulated harmonic signal which illuminates the scene. The reflected light from object surfaces is sensed back by pixels of the sensor chip, which collects pixel charges for some period \(I_T\) referred to as integration time. For each pixel, the range data is estimated in relation to phase delay of mixed signal between sensed signal and the one of the light modulator [21]. The estimation of phase delay \(\phi\) and amplitude \(A\) is performed as a discrete cross-correlation of several successively captured samples taken between equal intervals during same modulation periods of fixed frequency. The sensed distance \(D\) is proportional to the phase delay \(\phi\), while the error in distance measurements measured through the variance \(\sigma_\phi^2\) is proportional to the square inverse of the amplitude \(A\):

\[
D \propto \frac{\phi}{4\pi f_c}, \quad \sigma_\phi^2 \propto \left(\frac{1}{A^2}\right).
\]

In the above equation, \(f\) denotes the frequency of the emitted signal and \(c_L\) denotes the speed of light through dry air (\(\sim 298.10^5\) km/h). The exact value of \(D\) is calculated after precise calibration of the sensor.

ToF devices exhibit generic drawbacks related with their principles of operation. A specific case of interest is the so-called low-sensing mode, in which the sensor is more restricted, by e.g. requirements for miniaturization leading to
limited beamer size, decreased number of LED elements, embedding into portable low-power devices, requirements for low power consumption, etc. This results in extremely low sensing measurements of \( A \) (e.g. < 250 units), and according to Eq. 13 will lead to high values of measurement error (noise variance) \( \sigma_D^2 \). For such a mode the noise presence becomes a dominant problem which should be addressed by dedicated de-noising methods. The case is illustrated in Figure 8. One can observe that noisy influence of low-sensing mode in fusion process has completely degraded output and is useless in practice. This illustrates the importance of proper care of the range noise on a system level before applying the necessary steps for multi-modal data fusion.

A de-noising procedure can be applied to the pre-computed phase-delay map \( \varphi_M \) as a post-measurement operation. There are some specifics of the noise arising from ToF low-sensing measurements. While the influence of noise in distance maps is very high and resembles a moving grainy fog, the distance data is of low-texture content and is usually considered as piece-wise smooth function (c.f. Figure 8.a). This makes the de-noising step well tractable in the light of modern non-local de-noising paradigm [22, 23].

![Figure 9. Demonstration of wave-like de-noising artifacts: a) noisy video stream\( (I_T=50\mu s) \), b) and c) are two consecutively captured de-noised outputs, d) and their XOR difference.](image)

### 6.1 Proposed de-noising approach

The technique for suppressing system noise of ToF range data has been developed and presented in our previous works[15,21,24]. The technique utilizes a non-local means (NLM) de-noising approach [22], modified for the case to work in a complex-valued domain [21]. In addition to removing system noise caused by low-sensing operational mode, the proposed method was proved efficient for suppressing artifacts presented also in normal operating mode [21]. The proposed approach is summarized as follows: First, a non-local means filtering is employed on a complex signal formed from the measured amplitude and phase \( C = A_M e^{j \varphi_M} \). The patch similarity search for complex-valued image patches effectively adapts to the prior knowledge of noise provided by the amplitude. It simplifies the block search leading to better weighting for complex-valued signals with reduced computational complexity. The non-local de-noising in complex domain implies simultaneous filtering of all signal components in a single step thus it additionally improves the noise confidence parameter \( A_M \), which can be further used in subsequent iterative de-noising schemes. Second, we propose also a preliminary filtering step of individual signal components that provides additional enhancement effect by suppressing fixed-pattern noise, impulse noise and system artifacts for better de-noised output.

![Figure 10. Process diagram of proposed smart averaging technique (a), and b) selected threshold distribution of \( \alpha \).](image)

We propose a modification of our approach [24], aimed at real-time implementation with low-complexity fixed-point arithmetic while bringing further improvements to the performance. The approach is based on similarity search and filtering in spatio-temporal complex-valued signal domain. It makes use of highly over-complete data structure utilizing subsequent time frames where measurements are taken with shorter integration times. The experiments have shown that such search is less computationally and power expensive. A simplified filter modification specifically addresses a computationally efficient collection of spatio-temporal patches and meets the requirements for real-time implementation [24]. Further modification deals with flickering introduced by motion artifacts. An example is given in Figure 13.c and the approach is depicted in Figure 10 and explained by the following steps:
1. Capture video stream of ToF data maps – \( \hat{A}_M, \phi_M \).
2. For each frame output, create video stream buffer of \( N \) consecutive frames.
2.1. For each frame in buffer, de-noise amplitude and phase-delay maps – \((\phi_M, A_M)[1:N] \Rightarrow (\phi_D, A_D)[1:N] \).
3. Compare de-noised maps – \((\hat{A}_M)[1:N] \). Select pixel positions with variance < \( \alpha \).
4. De-noise \( A_U, \phi_U \Rightarrow A_{\text{out}}, \phi_{\text{out}} \).

The threshold \( \alpha \) in step 3 is adaptively selected following the dependence of the variance of the amplitude signal \( A \). This dependence between the intensity value and its variance exhibits a Rayleigh distribution and has been experimentally fit [24] (c.f. Figure 10.b). For amplitude values in consecutive frames with low variance, the corresponding complex values are averaged to undergo a second denoising iteration (steps 3 and 4). For the sake of real-time execution, the proposed modified averaging is applied only to data marked as extremely noisy (i.e. for amplitude \( A < 100 \) units) [21, 24]. For other sensed values, the advantage of that adaptive thresholding is considered costly. Visual results of the proposed modified adaptive averaging are given in Subsection 7.2.

![Figure 11](image.png)

Figure 11. Experimental setup: a) camera topology test configuration, b) rendered scene, c) visual results on scene details for 10x asymmetric scale and 0.25m setup misalignment of our and general resampling approach.

7. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

7.1 Re-sampling

We demonstrate our resampling technique by an experiment performed on a photorealistic scene synthetically rendered by Blender software [26]. A rendering script can be configured for different camera arrangements, asymmetric camera parameters in terms of \( f, R, T \), and varying sensor spatial resolutions. We have designed a scene of high depth contrast varying within \( 0\text{–}6 \) m, which resembles a typical range of the available ToF device and contains objects of different reflection surfaces, materials and facing directions, and illumination noise (Figure 11.b). Using the script, extreme case has been simulated. A horizontally aligned camera setup has been misaligned in forward/backward direction within the range \( 0 \div 0.5 \) m for an arbitrary chosen relative pose in terms of \( R \) and baseline, and for different resolution scale discrepancy to the HD sensor both for width and height two to ten times. We compared the rendered results of the proposed approach to the general one by measuring the difference to ground-truth data in terms of Peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR). Ground true was created by asymmetric camera arrangement of HD cameras with the same tested baseline, relative pose and misalignment. Both re-sampling approaches were programmed on Matlab. For 2D image interpolation, B-spline interpolation has been implemented [27]. The results plotted in Figure 12, show that for each tested case, our approach achieves comparable or better quality. Visual results on some scene details are given in Figure 11.c. The results demonstrate that the proposed approach for projection-alignment resampling has good performance for much faster speed (about 25 times faster as measured by the time of code execution).

![Figure 12](image.png)

Figure 12. Performance comparison between proposed and general re-sampling approach of asymmetric camera setup for forward/backward misalignment case: a) plots of PSNR metric and b) corresponding differences in performance.
7.2 De-noising

We demonstrate the proposed adaptive averaging approach for denoising of a video stream captured by very short integration time ($I_r = 50\mu s$) causing extreme levels of noise (c.f. Figure 13). The size of the video stream buffer utilized for spatio-temporal de-noising is 3 frames. This specifies a capture time far below the real-time constraint ($150\mu s < 40ms$). We demonstrate results of range data de-noising and subsequent data fusion. The results are compared in terms of PSNR where the ground true is formed by the scene depth by the ToF device in normal operating mode $I_r = 2ms$. Table 6 shows some of the results. Another demonstration shows a real-case video application, where object of fast local motion (a moving person) has been captured. The output of the applied de-noising technique shows robust performance against motion artifacts (c.f. Figure 13.c, d).

Table 6. De-noising performance of proposed techniques in terms of PSNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Noisy</th>
<th>Spatial de-noising</th>
<th>Spatio-temporal de-noising (3 frames)</th>
<th>Adaptive averaging (3 frames)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_r = 50\mu s$, 97% of low-sensed pixels</td>
<td>23.42</td>
<td>35.18</td>
<td>39.08</td>
<td>42.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_r = 100\mu s$, 73% of low-sensed pixels</td>
<td>30.58</td>
<td>41.41</td>
<td>43.29</td>
<td>47.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_r = 200\mu s$, 45% of low-sensed pixels</td>
<td>37.16</td>
<td>46.23</td>
<td>47.75</td>
<td>49.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 13. ToF range data de-noising ($I_r=50\mu s$): a) range data de-noising results, b) fusion results (view from azimuth perspective), c) real-case video application of low-sensing environment noisy input, d) 3 consecutively de-noised frames and their XOR difference.](image)

8. CONCLUSIONS

We have described a real-time performing end-to-end system for 3D video capture in the form of view plus depth. It synchronously combines the data of HD color camera with low-resolution ToF data, where the two cameras might be in non-collinear setup. We have specifically addressed the speed improvements required in the most computationally demanding steps including data remapping for optical correction, resampling and projection alignment, depth data de-noising and occlusion handling. The final system is of low complexity, and can handle data in low-sensed environment. The denoising module of the system employs a novel idea for handling flickering artifacts in range data by adaptive averaging. This solution is both fast and effective. While we utilize the power of GPU for the general non-uniform to uniform resampling, an alternative non-GPU implementation of the resampling stage has been developed as well. The approach essentially avoids operations at the HD grid by introducing an intermediate rendering of range virtual camera recentered at the RGB camera center. Thus, the subsequent up-sampling can be performed by interpolation at the low-resolution regular grid.

REFERENCES